



**VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA**

First Floor 33/11 kV substation, Hyderabad Boats Club Lane  
Lumbini Park, Hyderabad - 500 063

:: Present:: **R. DAMODAR**

Monday, the Twenty Ninth Day of June 2015

Appeal No. 24 of 2015

(Old Appeal No. 27 of 2014-15)

Preferred against Order Dt. 22-10-2013 of CGRF In

CG.No: 220/2013 of Nalgonda Circle

Sri Chada Venkatesham  
S/o Sailu, Kattavarigudem  
Gurrampode, Nalgonda Dist.  
Cell 9704457472

... Appellant

- 1) The AAE/OP/Nalgonda Town - III/TSSPDCL/Nalgonda.
- 2) The ADE/OP/Nalgonda Town/TSSPDCL/Nalgonda.
- 3) The DE/OP/Nalgonda/TSSPDCL/Nalgonda.
- 4) The SE/OP/Nalgonda circle/TSSPDCL/Nalgonda.

... Respondents

The above appeal filed on 11.06.2014 coming up for hearing before the Vidyut Ombudsman, Telangana State on 29.06.2015 at Hyderabad in the presence of Sri. Chada Venkatesham, the Appellant and Sri. Md. Murthuza Shareef AE/OP/TOWN-III/Nalgonda, Sri. A. Nagi Reddy ADE/OP/NLG-T, Sri. B. Franklin - DE/OP/ Nalgonda for the Respondents and having considered the record and submissions of both the parties, the Vidyut Ombudsman passed the following;

**AWARD**

Heard. The Appellant and respondents R1 to R3 are present. The Appellant sought removal of DTR from his plot and also electrical poles. When he was unsuccessful, he filed a suit OS 51/2011 on the file of the Junior Civil Judge, Nalgonda for mandatory injunction which was decreed on 20-06-2011. He could not succeed in getting the DTR

removed. He filed CG No. 220/2013/Nalgonda Circle and the complaint was disposed of on 22-10-2013 with a direction to remove DTR within 15 days. When this order was kept live under the pretext of getting sanction of budget, the Appellant preferred the present Appeal.

2. During the hearing of the Appeal, the Respondents filed a copy of proceedings Dt. 28-01-2015 in EP No. 5/2012 in OS No. 51/2011 filed by the Appellant for execution of the decree for removal of not only DTR, but also a pole and wire. The proceedings of the Hon'ble Court read as follows:-

“Decree Holder Counsel not pressed the docket. Hence EP is dismissed for default. No costs”

3. When this order of the court is pointed out to the Appellant, he agreed that it was so and represented that he is no more seeking any further relief. Keeping in view the above facts, the Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Corrected, signed and pronounced on this the 29th day of June 2015.

**VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN**

1. Sri Chada Venkatesham  
S/o Sailu, Kattavarigudem  
Gurrampode, Nalgonda Dist.
2. The AAE/OP/Nalgonda Town - III/TSSPDCL/Nalgonda.
3. The ADE/OP/Nalgonda Town/TSSPDCL/Nalgonda.
4. The DE/OP/Nalgonda/TSSPDCL/Nalgonda.
5. The SE/OP/Nalgonda circle/TSSPDCL/Nalgonda.

**Copy to:**

6. The Chairperson, Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum - 1, TSSPDCL,  
GTS Colony, Erragadda, Hyderabad
7. The Secretary, TSERC, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, Lakdikapool,  
Hyderabad.

