

SP

Overleaf to the objections/suggestions to the tariff proposals of TSSPDCL.

Name and address of the objector	P.Kodanda Ramaiah, 712, Turquoise Block, My Home Jewel, Madinaguda, Hyd -49.	950 529 8565
Brief details of objections/suggestions	These proposals are illegal as they are violating Act 2003, the number of slabs are to be brought down for any meaningful evaluation, the subsidies due from the government are to be collected this year, the proposal should cover all the requirement, wheeling charges and losses are to be reduced drastically.	
Objections against tariff proposals of	TSSPDCL. Details enclosed	
Whether copy of objections and proof of delivery at licensee's office are enclosed	Yes	
Whether objector wants to be heard in person	Yes	

[P.Kodanda Ramaiah]

CSF 615
CST
DATE 30/3/16

Dt. 30/3/2016.

To

The Secretary,

Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission Ltd., Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub:-Objections/suggestions to the tariff proposals of TSSPDCL for the year 2016-17 - Submitted.

1. I strongly support the increase in tariff. Actually the increase should be such that there would not be any loss to the utility.
2. There is a healthy development of seeking separate rates at least in respect of cross subsidy by the two distribution companies. This should extend to regular tariffs too.
3. This Tariff proposal is illegal. Proviso (g) of Section 61 of The Electricity Act 2003 ordains the tariff to progressively reflect the cost of supply. TSSPDCL proposes to sell, a considerable part of its power purchases, at a very low rate and even freely.
4. There is a deliberate attempt to scuttle any meaningful evaluation of the proposed tariffs by adopting a very high number of categories and slabs. No study is conducted to arrive at so many slabs.
5. Provision (b) of Section 61 of Act 2003 orders the functioning of the distribution company to be on commercial lines. It is evident that the company is being run, on the whims and fancies of the officials, as a social welfare organization. This is in sharp contrast to BSNL, APSRTC etc. Against all commercial principles, the DISCOM is proposing higher tariffs to bulk consumers.
6. The government is not paying the subsidy it promised while purchasing high cost power on temporary basis. Consequently the consumers are paying the resultant interest burden.
7. The Act contemplates progressive reduction of tariff differences. Now the proposal of DISCOM is increasing the difference.
8. The wheeling charges and losses are to be considerably reduced for non-conventional energy.
9. I would like to be heard in person, at the hearings too.

Yours sincerely,



[P. Kodanda Ramaiah]

950 829 8565