



TELANGANA STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
5th Floor, Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, Hyderabad-500 004

O. P. No. 7 of 2017

Dated 06.11.2017

Present

Sri. Ismail Ali Khan, Chairman
Sri. H. Srinivasulu, Member

Between:

M/s. SBQ Steels Limited,
6 / 13, North Avenue, K.P. Puram,
Chennai – 600 028.

... Petitioner

And

1. Telangana State Power Coordination Committee,
Vidyut Soudha, Hyderabad.
2. Southern Power Distribution Company of Telangana,
Mint Compound, Hyderabad – 500 034.
3. Northern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Limited,
H. No. 2-5-31 / 2, Corporate Office, Vidyut Bhavan,
Nakkalgutta, Hanammkonda, Warangal.
4. The Chief Engineer, (Planning, Commercial & Coordinateion),
Telangana State Power Coordination Committee,
Vidyut Soudha, Hyderabad – 500 082.

... Respondents.

This petition came up for hearing on 17.06.06.2017 and 02.11.2017. Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Counsel for the petitioner appeared on 17.06.2017 and 02.11.2017. Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents alongwith Sri B. Vijaya Bhaskar, Advocate appeared on 17.06.2017 and Ms. Pravalika, Advocate alongwith Smt. Nanditha, Advocate representing Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents appeared on 02.11.2017. The petition having stood for consideration to this day, the Commission passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner had filed the petition under section 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Conduct of Business Regulation 2 of 2015 seeking the following prayer.

“(i) To direct the respondents 1 to 4 to pay compensation on account of deviation in actual schedule of power by more than 15% of the contracted energy as per the approved open access on monthly basis for the short fall energy for the period 22.06.2015 to 26.05.2016 in terms of the PO vide Lr. No. CE (Plg. Comml & Coordn) / SE (Comml) / DE (STPP) / PO. No. 28 / 15 – 16 / D. No. 115 dated 20.06.2015 in pursuance to the Annual Compensation Bill vide No. 001 / TSSLDC / Compensation / July / 2016 dated 25.07.2016 along with surcharge for late payment @ 1.25% per month; and

(ii) Direct the respondents to pay short paid amount for the months July and November, 2015 i.e. Rs. 10,29,152.25 and Rs. 6,54,000.00 totaling to Rs. 17,03,152.25 for 192505 and 120000 units respectively alongwith surcharge for late payment @ 1.25% per months”.

2. On the last date of hearing, the counsel for the petitioner stated that earlier on behalf of the petitioner, memo had been filed seeking to withdraw the present petition, however, the same was returned and required filing of interlocutory application. But, the counsel for the petitioner without going into that aspect, sought permission to withdraw the same with liberty to approach the Commission afresh, if necessary. The advocates representing the standing counsel for the respondents have no objection.

4. The Commission required the counsel for the petitioner to file a statement or else endorse his request on the petition itself. The counsel for the petitioner has agreed to endorse on the petition and recorded that the petitioner ‘may be permitted to withdraw the O. P. with liberty to approach the Commission if need arises’. Accordingly, the request of the petitioner is agreed to and the petition is dismissed as

withdrawn, but with a liberty to approach the Commission if need arises. The parties shall bear their own costs.

This order is corrected and signed on this the 6th day of November, 2017.

**Sd/-
(H. SRINIVASULU)
MEMBER**

**Sd/-
(ISMAIL ALI KHAN)
CHAIRMAN**

//CERTIFIED COPY//