

**Record of proceedings dated 25.10.2021**

| Case No.             | Name of the Petitioner(s)                 | Name of the Respondent(s) |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------|
| O. P. No. 10 of 2021 | M/s. Medak Solar Projects Private Limited | TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL       |

Petition filed seeking to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the order dated 02.01.2019 in O. P. No. 46 of 2018 passed by the Commission.

Sri Deepak Chowdary, advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents have appeared through video conference. The representative of the respondents stated that the matter is pending before the Hon'ble ATE and it is awaiting completion of pleadings. The advocate representing the counsel for petitioner stated that it is a fact that the pleadings are to be completed and the Hon'ble ATE instructed the petitioner herein not to take any coercive steps in the matter orally. Therefore, the petitioner is not pressing the matter for the present. It may be adjourned to a future date. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 03.01.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

| Case No.             | Name of the Petitioner(s)                  | Name of the Respondent(s) |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------|
| O. P. No. 11 of 2021 | M/s. Dubbak Solar Projects Private Limited | TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL       |

Petition filed seeking to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the order dated 02.01.2019 in O. P. No. 47 of 2018 passed by the Commission.

Sri Deepak Chowdary, advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents have appeared through video conference. The representative of the respondents stated that the matter is pending before the Hon'ble ATE and it is awaiting completion of pleadings. The advocate representing the counsel for petitioner stated that it is a fact

that the pleadings are to be completed and the Hon'ble ATE instructed the petitioner herein not to take any coercive steps in the matter orally. Therefore, the petitioner is not pressing the matter for the present. It may be adjourned to a future date. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 03.01.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

| Case No.             | Name of the Petitioner(s) | Name of the Respondent(s) |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| O. P. No. 12 of 2021 | M/s. Sarvotham Care       | TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL       |

Petition filed seeking to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the order dated 02.01.2019 in O. P. No. 61 of 2018 passed by the Commission.

Sri Deepak Chowdary, advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents have appeared through video conference. The representative of the respondents stated that the matter is pending before the Hon'ble ATE and it is awaiting completion of pleadings. The advocate representing the counsel for petitioner stated that it is a fact that the pleadings are to be completed and the Hon'ble ATE instructed the petitioner herein not to take any coercive steps in the matter orally. Therefore, the petitioner is not pressing the matter for the present. It may be adjourned to a future date. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 03.01.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

| Case No.  | Name of the Petitioner(s)     | Name of the Respondent(s)              |
|---|-------------------------------|--|
| I. A. No. 13 of 2019<br>in<br>O. P. No. 4 of 2013 | M/s. VBC Ferro Alloys Limited | TSSPDCL & SE (O)<br>Sangareddy TSSPDCL |

Application filed seeking revisiting the conditions stipulated in the retail supply tariff order for FY 2013-14 for category of HT-I (B) consumers.

Sri Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for applicant and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents have appeared through video conference. The advocate representing the counsel for applicant has sought further adjournment in the matter, as the counsel for the petitioner is engaged elsewhere and would definitely argue the matter on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 15.11.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

| Case No.  | Name of the Petitioner(s)     | Name of the Respondent(s)              |
|---|-------------------------------|--|
| I. A. No. 14 of 2019<br>in<br>O. P. No. 4 of 2012 | M/s. VBC Ferro Alloys Limited | TSSPDCL & SE (O)<br>Sangareddy TSSPDCL |

Application filed seeking revisiting the conditions stipulated in the retail supply tariff order for FY 2012-13 for category of HT-I (B) consumers.

Sri Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for applicant and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents have appeared through video conference. The advocate representing the counsel for applicant has sought further adjournment in the matter, as the counsel for the petitioner is engaged elsewhere and would definitely argue the matter on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 15.11.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

| Case No.  | Name of the Petitioner(s)     | Name of the Respondent(s)              |
|---|-------------------------------|--|
| I. A. (SR) No. 28 of 2019<br>in<br>O. P. No. 21 of 2017 | M/s. VBC Ferro Alloys Limited | TSSPDCL & SE (O)<br>Sangareddy TSSPDCL |

Application filed seeking revisiting the conditions stipulated in the retail supply tariff order for FY 2018-19 for category of HT-I (B) consumers.

Sri Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for applicant and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents have appeared through video conference. The advocate representing the counsel for applicant has sought further adjournment in the matter, as the counsel for the petitioner is engaged elsewhere and would definitely argue the matter on the next date of hearing. The representative of the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 15.11.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

| Case No.             | Name of the Petitioner(s)                   | Name of the Respondent(s)            |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| O. P. No. 27 of 2021 | M/s. Paramount Minerals & Chemicals Limited | TSSPDCL, its CGM (IPC & RAC) & TSPCC |

Petition filed seeking extension of SCOD and consequently reimbursement of the penalty.

Sri Deepak Chowdary, advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, advocate for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee, for the respondents have appeared through video conference. The advocate representing the counsel for petitioner stated and explained the factual matrix relating to the petitioner. It is his case that the prayer in the petition is already covered by the orders of the Commission in O. P. No. 28 of 2020, wherein the Commission had ordered extension of SCOD and also refund of the amounts. At this stage, the Commission

pointed out that the petitioner has approached the Commission after three years of the encashment of bank guarantee and the lapse of period for completion of the project. The advocate representing the counsel for petitioner stated that the respondents have addressed a letter in the month of September, 2019 about termination of the agreement, as such the petition filed is within time. The representative of the respondents stated that originally there was a delay of 34 days in completing the project, however, the Government of Telangana had extended the SCOD in the year 2017. Thus, this project could have been saved due to such extension. Later, the Commission directed the respondents that the extension of SCOD cannot be done unless each of the cases is examined thoroughly. As such a communication had been sent to the petitioner also in terms of the directions of the Commission. Now the petitioner has approached the Commission after invocation of bank guarantee. The Commission may consider the delay in commissioning the project and approaching the Commission for extension of SCOD. Having heard the arguments of the parties, the matter is reserved for orders.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

| Case No.   | Name of the Petitioner(s)               | Name of the Respondent(s)                |
|--|---|--|
| O. P. No. 32 of 2015<br>&<br>I. A. No. 5 of 2015 | M/s. Tata Power Trading<br>Company Ltd. | TSDISCOMs, APSPDCL,<br>APEPDCL and APPCC |

Petition filed seeking questioning the illegal, unilateral and wrongful deduction of Rs. 9,72,00,000/- and Rs. 96,48,000/- towards illegal compensation claim for supply of short term power.

I. A. filed seeking release of Rs. 9,72,00,000/- and Rs. 96,48,000/- in lieu of bank guarantee for corresponding amounts.

There is no representation for petitioner. Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee representing Sri. D. N. Sarma, OSD (Legal and Commercial) for respondents has appeared through video conference. The representative of the respondents stated that the matter is pending before the Hon'ble High Court and it is scheduled for hearing. In view of the submission made by the representative, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 22.11.2021 at 11:30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

| Case No.  | Name of the Petitioner(s)             | Name of the Respondent(s)                                       |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| O. P. (SR) No. 8 of 2021<br>&<br>I. A. (SR) No. 9 of 2021 | M/s. Sneha Renewable<br>Energies Ltd. | Prl. Secretary to GoTS,<br>Energy Dept., TSSPDCL &<br>TSTRANSCO |

Petition filed seeking directions to enter into PPA by fixing tariff at Rs. 5/- per unit.

I. A. filed seeking interim directions to purchase power from the petitioner on payment of average pooled purchase costs till the disposal of the petition.

Ms. P. Lakshmi, advocate for petitioner sought to appear through video conference, however, while the Commission was proceeding with the matter, she could not establish her link to the Commission. The Commission having considered the situation agreed to adjourn the hearing on the submission of the office.

Call on 15.11.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman