

Record of Proceedings dated 04.01.2020

O. P. No. 6 of 2015
&
I. A. No. 28 of 2015

M/s. Rithwik Power Projects Ltd. Vs. TSNPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the licensee for payment of tariff for the additional capacity of 1.5 MW at the rate being paid to existing 6 MW power plant.

I.A. filed seeking payment of tariff for the additional capacity.

Sri. Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondent alongwith Sri. K. Vamshi Krishna, Advocate are present. The advocate representing the counsel for the petitioner stated that the petitioner requires time to obtain concurrence or otherwise for the additional capacity of procurement. He sought time of two weeks. The counsel for the respondent stated that according to the oral instructions received by him, the petitioner is likely to withdraw the case in view of the position that the DISCOM may or may not procure the additional capacity. Accordingly the matter is adjourned.

Call on 25.01.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 10 of 2016

TSDISCOMs Vs. –Nil-

Petition filed seeking consent for power purchase agreement in respect of 2 x 800 MW of Telangana Super Thermal Power Project, Phase – I by NTPC.

Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the petitioners alongwith Sri. K. Vamshi Krishna, Advocate is present. The counsel for the petitioners stated that as per the directions of the Commission on the earlier date of hearing, the petitioners are filing memo bringing out the status of implementation of directions given by the

Commission earlier in the interim order. He also stated about the provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003 and the opinion tendered by him earlier to his client on the quantum of power that can be availed by them.

However, the Commission emphatically made it clear that the petitioners are required to comply with the directions of filing the draft amended PPA for consideration of the Commission and final disposal of the matter through public hearing mode. The counsel for the petitioners sought time of two weeks to place before the Commission the final draft amended PPA in terms of the directions of the Commission.

The Commission observed that upon filing of the amended PPA, further steps will be initiated, accordingly the matter is adjourned without any date.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 7 of 2019

M/s. Prathmesh Solarfarms Pvt. Ltd. Vs. TSTRANSCO, M/s. Mytrah Agriya Power Pvt. Ltd. & TSSPDCL.

Petition filed questioning action of the TSTRANSCO in allowing another project to utilize transmission line and interconnection facilities developed by the petitioner contrary to the regulations.

Smt. Swapna Seshadri, Advocate and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 and 3 alongwith Sri. K. Vamshi Krishna, Advocate are present. Insofar as the respondent No. 2 is concerned, there is no representation and the notice sent by the Commission is returned unserved. The counsel for the petitioner stated about the issue involved in the petition and that the matter should be taken up for consideration by admitting it and calling for counter affidavits of the respondents. On the issue of non-serving of petition on the parties, the counsel for the petitioner agreed to serve a fresh copy to them. The counsel for the respondents sought time of four weeks to ascertain the factual position about stringing of transmission line on the towers of the petitioner by the 2nd respondent project and also to file counter

affidavit. The counsel for the petitioner sought additional two more weeks after the four weeks period for filing rejoinder if any. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 22.02.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 8 of 2019

M/s. Hyderabad MSW Energy Solutions Pvt. Ltd. Vs. TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking extension of control period from 31.03.2019 to 31.03.2020 in respect of generic tariff fixed in O. P. No. 18 of 2016 dated 13.06.2016.

Sri. Matrugupta Mishra, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Omar Waziri, Advocate alongwith Sri. D.B.S.S.R. Sastry, Vice President, representative of the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondent alongwith Sri. K. Vamshi Krishna, Advocate are present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the petition is filed for extension of the tariff order passed by the Commission in the year 2016, which expired on 31.03.2019, upto 31.03.2020. The project is likely to be commissioned around the months of June and July, 2020. The draft PPA has been signed and the same has been sent to the Commission. The counsel for the respondent sought time to file a formal counter affidavit and inform about the status of the PPA, as the Commission had already communicated its views in the matter.

In view of the position explained by the parties, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 25.01.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman

I. A. No. 13 of 2019
in
O. P. No. 4 of 2013

M/s. VBC Ferro Alloys Limited Vs. TSSPDCL & its S.E.

Petition filed seeking revisiting the conditions stipulated in the retail supply tariff order for FY 2013-14 for the category of HT – I(b) consumers.

Sri. Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Counsel for the applicant and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondent alongwith Sri. K. Vamshi Krishna, Advocate are present. The advocate representing the counsel for the applicant stated that the applicant in the I. A. is only seeking modification of the conditions laid down in the order in the facts and circumstances of the case explained in the application. The counsel for the respondent sought time for filing a counter affidavit and also to appraise the Commission as to the maintainability of the application in view of the law made prior to 2014. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 25.01.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman

I. A. No. 14 of 2019
in
O. P. No. 4 of 2012

M/s. VBC Ferro Alloys Limited Vs. TSSPDCL & its S.E.

Petition filed seeking revisiting the conditions stipulated in the retail supply tariff order for FY 2012-13 for the category of HT – I(b) consumers.

Sri. Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Counsel for the applicant and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondent alongwith Sri. K. Vamshi Krishna, Advocate are present. The advocate representing the counsel for the applicant stated that the applicant in the I. A. is only seeking modification of the conditions laid down in the order in the facts and circumstances of the case explained in the application. The counsel for the respondent sought time for filing a counter affidavit and also to appraise the Commission as to the maintainability of the application in view of the law made prior to 2014. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 25.01.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman