

## **Record of Proceedings dated 08.01.2018**

I. A. No. 30 of 2017  
in  
O. P. No. 1 of 2014

M/s. Shalivahan (MSW) Green Energy Ltd., Vs. TSNPDCL

Application filed seeking for amendment of order dated 23.12.2016 passed in O. P. No. 1 of 2014.

Sri. T. Vizhay Babu, Advocate representing Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, counsel for the applicant and Sri. T. Madhusudhan, Chief General Manager (IPC&RAC) for respondent are present. The counsel for the applicant stated that it is a RDF project. The Commission had passed an order on 23.12.2016 imposing the condition of 80% PLF with 75% of solid waste and 25% of other fuels. The plant is under shutdown and the company wishes to start operations. For starting operations, the condition imposed in the order that PPA will take effect only upon demonstration of the plant as above is hindering the operations. Therefore, the licensee may be directed to sign the PPA and allow the operations so as to enable the company to start working and demonstrate the condition imposed by the Commission. The representative of the licensee stated that they are inclined to allow the plant to start and sign the PPA. To that effect a counter affidavit is filed by the licensee.

The Commission observed that usage of fuel in rainy and winter seasons is difficult as it is wet though it is solid waste. To demonstrate 80% PLF this type of plants need more time. This plant has to be allowed to operationalize as it is must run station and is being encouraged by the Government of India.

The representative of the licensee stated that the raw material processing plants are established at three places that is at Ramagundam, Karimnagar and Nizamabad. The plant at Karimnagar is only working and Nizamabad plant is yet to start operation. At this stage, the counsel for the applicant pointed out that the Ramagundam unit has been shut down at the request of the Commissioner of the Municipality, Ramagundam due to certain local issues and efforts are being made to revise the same. The representative of the licensee stated that the plant requires stabilization period and it may take longer time for the plant to operationalize and demonstrate 80% PLF.

The Commission pointed out that for coal plants, the stabilization period is about 6 months and the same yardstick may be applied to this plant also. The licensee can pay fixed charges to the unit in the event of the company not achieving 80% PLF at proportionate rate per unit instead of lump sum payable in the case of coal unit for the tariff year. These observations are made by the Commission in the light of the fact that there are no guidelines from CERC or government on technical aspects.

The Commission required the applicant and the licensee to discuss and come to a conclusion regarding operationalization of the raw material processing units as also the running of the power plant. The applicant should file a statement immediately about bringing into operation the raw material units and the time taken for the same. Upon receipt of such information, the Commission will pass necessary orders.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman