

Record of Proceedings dated 18.01.2020

O. P. No. 20 of 2016

&

I. A. No. 13 of 2016

M/s. Sugna Metals Limited Vs. DE (Operation) TSSPDCL & officers.

Petition filed questioning action of DISCOMs in not implementing the order of CGRF and to punish the licensee under section 142 of the Act, 2003.

I.A. filed seeking non-compliance of various orders of CGRF and the Ombudsman.

Sri. N. Vinesh Raj, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri. J. Rajesh, Advocate representing Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents alongwith Sri. M. Madhav, SAO / Vikarabad, TSSPDCL are present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the matter is relating to implementation of order of the CGRF and he also stated the Hon'ble High Court, upon questioning the action of the DISCOM in continuing to deviate from the orders of the CGRF, directed them to follow the regulations and raise claims towards bills. He also sought time to make detailed submission on the next date of hearing. However, the counsel representing the respondents sought time stating that the standing counsel is out of station. The Commission observed that as the proceedings between the parties is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, the Commission is not inclined to proceed with the matter. Accordingly adjourned.

Call on 04.04.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 21 of 2016

Sri. Akthar Ahmed Vs. CGRF-2, ADE (Operation), Shamshabad, TSSPDCL, DE (O) & SE (O), TSSPDCL.

Petition filed questioning action of DISCOMs in not implementing the order of CGRF and to punish the licensee under section 142 of the Act, 2003.

Sri. N. Vinesh Raj, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri. J. Rajesh, Advocate representing Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents alongwith Sri. U.C.V. Annaiah, ADE / O / Shamshabad, TSSPDCL are present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the CGRF had ordered for shifting of the line, which is passing through the premises of the petitioner and the same is likely to pose danger to the lives of the people. The CGRF and the Ombudsman have directed the respondents to shift the line to ensure safety of the people. Further, the company has obtained stay of the order of the Ombudsman from the Hon'ble High Court. However, the counsel representing the respondents sought time stating that the standing counsel is out of station. The Commission observed that as the proceedings between the parties is pending before the Hon'ble High Court and there is a stay for implementation of the orders of the Ombudsman, the Commission is not inclined to proceed with the matter. Accordingly adjourned.

Call on 04.04.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 27 of 2016

M/s. Sugna Metals Limited Vs. DE (Operation) TSSPDCL & officers.

Petition filed questioning action of DISCOMs in not implementing the order of CGRF and to punish the licensee under section 142 of the Act, 2003.

Sri. N. Vinesh Raj, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri. J. Rajesh, Advocate representing Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents alongwith Sri. M. Madhav, SAO / Vikarabad, TSSPDCL are present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the matter is relating to implementation of order of the CGRF and he also stated the Hon'ble High Court, upon questioning the action of the DISCOM in continuing to deviate from the orders of the CGRF, directed them to follow the regulations and raise claims towards bills. He also sought time to make detailed submission on the next date of hearing. However, the counsel representing the respondents sought time stating that the standing counsel is out of station. The Commission observed that as the proceedings between the parties is pending before

the Hon'ble High Court, the Commission is not inclined to proceed with the matter.
Accordingly adjourned. Accordingly adjourned.

Call on 04.04.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman