

Record of proceedings dated 13.06.2016

O. P. No. 5 of 2015
And
I. A. No. 27 of 2015

M/s Knowledge Infrastructure Systems Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi & M/s Shalivahana (MSW) Green Energy Ltd. vs TSSPDCL, Chief General Manager, (Comml & RAC) & TSPCC

Petition filed u/s 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 claiming certain amounts due Eon account of supply of electricity under short term purchase for the months January, February and March, 2013

Filed an I.A. seeking to amend the title in the petition.

Sri T. Vizhay Babu, Advocate representing Sri. Challa Gunaranjan counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao counsel for the respondent along with Smt. Priya, Advocate are present. The counsel for the petitioner sought adjournment stating that the DISCOMs have to file report on the issue of energy pumped into the grid, which is not done. The counsel for the respondent sought to argue the matter along with the concerned officer. However, the advocate representing the counsel for the petitioner insisted on adjournment.

The Commission based on the request, adjourned the matter on the condition that no further adjournment will be granted to either side and matter will be reserved for orders on the next date of hearing.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Call on 22.06.2016
At 3.30 PM
Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 6 of 2015
And
I. A. No. 28 of 2015

M/s Rithwik Power Projects Limited vs TSNPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the Licensee for payment of tariff for the additional capacity of 1.5 MW at the rate being paid to existing 6 MW power plant.

Filed an I.A seeking to amend the title in the petition.

Sri T. Vizhay Babu, Advocate representing Sri. Challa Gunaranjan counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao counsel for the respondent along with Smt. Priya, Advocate are present. The counsel for the petitioner sought adjournment stating that he requires some more time to take further instructions from the petitioner to enable himself to make submissions in the matter. The counsel for the respondent sought to argue the matter along with the concerned officer. However, the advocate representing the counsel for the petitioner insisted on adjournment.

The Commission based on the request, adjourned the matter on the condition that no further adjournment will be granted to either side and matter will be reserved for orders on the next date of hearing.

		Call on 22.06.2016
		At 3.30 PM
Sd/- Member	Sd/- Member	Sd/- Chairman

O. P. No. 14 of 2015

M/s. Arhyama Solar Power Pvt. Ltd. vs Energy Dept., Govt. of Telangana, TSSPDCL & TSTRANSCO

Petition seeking the levy of transmission and wheeling charges as determined by erstwhile APERC vide order dated 09.05.2014 contrary to government policy as adopted by the APERC.

Sri. G. Ranadeer, representative of the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondents along with Smt. Priya are present. The representative of the petitioner sought adjournment of the matter stating that the counsel is unable to attend hearing due to professional disturbance, therefore sought adjournment by a week. The counsel for the respondent stated that he is ready to argue the matter. However, he pointed out that there are similar petitions in O.P. Nos. 82 of 2015 filed by M/s Pragathi Group and O. P. No. 89 of 2015 filed by M/s Bhagyanagar India Limited. All the matters may be clubbed and posted to same date.

Since the representative of the petitioner in this case sought adjournment, and in view of the representation of the counsel for the respondent the petition is

adjourned. Office is directed to post O.P. Nos. 82 & 89 of 2015 also on the next date of hearing along with this case.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Call on 22.06.2016
At 3.30 PM
Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 82 of 2015
And
I. A. No. 32 of 2015

M/s. Pragathi Group vs TSSPDCL, TSTRANSCO & TSSLDC (Proposed to be impleaded)

Petition seeking to question the action of levying wheeling and transmission charges by licensees along with other issues.

Petition in IA No. 31 of 2015 to implead TSSLDC

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondent along with Smt. Priya, Advocate are present. The counsel for the respondent stated that he is ready with the matter. However, he pointed out that there are similar petitions in O.P. No. 14 of 2015 filed by M/s Arhyama Solar Power Private Limited and O.P. No. 89 of 2015 filed by M/s Bhagyanagar India Limited. All the matters may be clubbed and posted to same date.

Since the representative of the petitioner in this case sought adjournment, and in view of the representation of the counsel for the respondent the petition is adjourned. Office is directed to post O.P. Nos. 14 & 89 of 2015 also on the next date of hearing along with this case.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Call on 22.06.2016
At 3.30 PM
Sd/-
Chairman

R. P. (SR) No. 42 of 2015
And
I. A. (SR) No. 51 and 52 of 2015

M/s Suguna Metals Limited vs TSNPDCL & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking review of the tariff order dated 27.03.2015 in OP Nos. 76 and 77 of 2015 in respect of voltage surcharge (SR. No. 42 of 2015)

Petition filed for interim orders pending disposal of the review petition (SR No. 51 of 2015)

Petition filed for condoning the delay of 34 days in filing the review petition (SR No. 52 of 2015)

Sri. Ravinder Srivastav, Representative on behalf of Sri N. Vinesh Raj Counsel for the petitioner and Sri Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondent along with Smt. Priya, Advocate are present. The representative of the counsel for the petitioner sought adjournments stating that the counsel is out of station, therefore, next date may be given. The counsel for the respondents stating that the petition is relating to review of the tariff order in respect of voltage surcharge clause. The order cannot be reviewed as it will lead to severe consequences. He has no objection for adjourned of the matter.

The Commission adjourned the review petition on the condition that the petitioners counsel will be present and argue the matter without fail.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Call on 22.06.2016
At 3.30 PM
Sd/-
Chairman

Connected case mentioned:

O. P. No. 89 of 2015

M/s Bhagyanagar India Limited vs Govt. of Telangana, TSSPDCL, TSTRANSCO
and Officers

Petition filed questioning the action of the licensees in demanding payment of wheeling charges contrary to the tariff order dated 09.05.2014 of erstwhile APERC.

Sri. T. Vizhay Babu, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondents along with Smt. Priya are present. The advocate stated that relief claimed in the petition is similar to matters that are listed today. The counsel for the respondent stated that he is ready to argue the matter on the date it is listed. However, he pointed out that there are similar petitions in O.P. No.14 of 2015 filed by M/s Arhyama Solar Power Private Limited and

O.P. No. 82 of 2015 filed by M/s Pragathi Group. All the matters may be clubbed and posted to same date.

In view of the representation of the counsel for the respondent though the petition is scheduled for 15.06.2016, it is taken up on the mention made by counsel for respondent and adjourned. Office is directed to post O.P. No. 14 & 82 of 2015 also on the next date of hearing along with this case.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Call on 22.06.2016
At 3.30 PM
Sd/-
Chairman